

**Financial Engineering: Share Buyback Problem Set<sup>1</sup>**  
**GoreCore Enterprises**  
**In-Class Problem<sup>2</sup>**

GoreCore Enterprises is a privately held firm specializing in renewable energy production and storage technologies. The firm has enjoyed dynamic growth over the last decade and is providing significant cash flows to its stakeholders. The firm has a low debt-to-equity ratio compared to its industry peers and a low cost of borrowing. The combination of strong cash flows, low debt levels, and inexpensive borrowing have made it an attractive target for private equity, so much so that the firm's board has repeatedly received inquiries with respect to possible transactions, several of which has proposed "friendly" takeover scenarios. In its early years GoreCore's founders envisioned their company firm as a lifestyle firm, but like many, required equity funding to realize growth opportunities and sold equity shares to Angel and Venture Capital investors<sup>3</sup> rather than take on costly debt and the resulting debt service obligations they feared may place excessive pressures on the firm's future cash flows. Now, these early investors are seeking liquidity and the founders fear they may be in a position to lose control of the firm they had expected to keep in "family" hands for generations. As it is, they already own an equivalent of 18.37% of the firm's outstanding equity shares and control 3 of the firm's 7 board seats.

As GoreCore's CFO and one of the founding stakeholders, you're seeking to help you and your early partners solidify control of the firm and see the possibility to simultaneously strengthening the value of your equity positions. Rather than become subject to the possibility select stakeholders may choose to sell their equity stakes to outside investors, you've recommended the firm buy back their shares via a leveraged buyback (buyout) transaction. In anticipation you've arranged up to \$78 million in long-term debt financing at 5.50% and are prepared to buy back outstanding shares at a 10% premium to the current market value.

At the end of each year you prepare an informal valuation estimate of the firm in an effort to approximate the market value of the firm's capital components for the benefit of the various equity stakeholder (see attached Additional Financial Information). The stakeholders have agreed on an 8x EBIT multiple as a reasonable valuation method for this purpose. Though this has been sufficient to this point, you now need to consider a more rigorous valuation analysis based on the firm's discounted free cash flows and an EBIT multiple. You've agreed with the board that you'll use an EBIT multiple based on the KVD algebraic form and will accept the average of the two valuation estimates, Free Cash Flow and Forward Market Multiple, as the estimated value of the firm.

### **Revenue Forecasts**

The firm's management sees revenue increases from its core business (product sales) of 10%, 13% and 12% for 2020 through 2022 and long-run expectations of 4%. The current revenue mix includes revenues from leasing equipment it effectively sold to existing customers – a capital intensive activity as the firm carries the lease contracts. While providing a resource for good customers, the board has

---

<sup>1</sup> This problem and solution set is intended to present an abbreviated discussion of the included finance concepts and is not intended to be a full or complete representation of them or the underlying foundations from which they are built.

<sup>2</sup> This problem set was developed by Richard Haskell, PhD (rhaskell@westminstercollege.edu), Gore School of Business, Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah (2020).

<sup>3</sup> Angel and Venture Capital stakeholders currently own 100% of the firm's outstanding preferred shares plus 1 million shares of the outstanding common equity.

agreed that this activity may not be the best use of the firm's capital and is in support of your recommendation for an alliance with Signature Capital, a Venture Capital and Enterprise Finance firm prepared to provide this service at a low cost to the customer. GoreCore will no longer lease equipment to its customers starting in 2020 and the firm will be completely out of the leasing business by the beginning of 2021. Projected leasing revenue declines for 2020 and 2021 are 25% and 35%.

Simultaneously, the firm has experienced substantial increases in Repair & Maintenance revenues as products placed into service in previous years are now beyond their warranty periods require ongoing maintenance. This revenue category increased by nearly 246% in 2019 and is projected to grow in 2020 by 50% with subsequent annual increase of 40%, 30% and 30% before settling into long-run increases of 5%.

The firm's Consulting Income is expected to increase by 12%, 18%, 16% and 3% for 2020 through 2023. Its Private Equity Incomes, the result of minority holdings in joint ventures entered into in late 2018, are expected to increase at 10% each year through 2022 before leveling off at a modest 2.5% increase thereafter.

## Questions

- 1) Use the following format to prepare a Revenue Matrix showing 2018-2019 actuals and 2020-2023 forecasts based on the information provided.

	Explicit Forecast Period											Continuation Term	
	2018	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			
		% Δ	Revenue	% Δ	Revenue								
Product Sales	213.980	1.40%	216.980	10%	238.678	13%	269.7061	12%	302.071	4%	314.154		
Consulting Income	46.200	10.29%	50.952	12%	57.066	18%	67.3382	16%	78.112	3%	80.456		
Equipment Leasing	79.880	-1.54%	78.652	-25%	58.989	-35%	38.3429	-100%	0.000				
Service and Repairs	5.680	245.88%	19.646	50%	29.469	40%	41.2566	30%	53.634	5%	56.315		
Private Equity Incomes	0.550	238.18%	1.860	10%	2.046	10%	2.2506	10%	2.476	2.5%	2.538		
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>346.290</b>	<b>6.30%</b>	<b>368.090</b>	<b>4.93%</b>	<b>386.248</b>	<b>8.45%</b>	<b>418.894</b>	<b>4.15%</b>	<b>436.292</b>	<b>3.94%</b>	<b>453.462</b>		

- 2) Prepare a forecast panel sufficient to project each of the revenue and expense categories on the attached income statement with enough detail to forecast free cash flow. In addition to each of the revenue and expense categories this should include EBIT, NOPLAT, FA, NWC, IC, ROIC and FCF for the base year, each of the periods in the explicit forecast, and the continuation period.

Year	Revenues						Cost of Goods Sold			Operating Expenses										
	Product Sales	Consulting Income	Equipment Leasing	Service & Repairs	Private Equity Incomes	Total Revenue	Material & Inputs	Production Labor	Equipment Leases	Marketing & Sales	General & Admin	D&A	EBIT	NOPLAT	FA	NWC	IC	ROIC	FCF	
Forecast Ratios	See Revenue Matrix						25.66%	14.23%	8.05%	5.40%	27.81%	1.87%								
2019	216.98	50.95	78.65	19.65	1.86	368.09	94.44	52.36	29.64	19.89	102.36	6.89	62.513	46.260	80.590	65.91	146.500	31.58%	22.210	
1 2020	238.68	57.07	58.99	29.47	2.05	386.25	99.10	54.95	31.10	20.87	107.41	7.23	65.597	48.542	84.566	69.161	153.727	31.58%	41.315	
2 2021	269.71	67.34	38.34	41.26	2.25	418.89	107.47	59.59	33.73	22.63	116.48	7.84	71.141	52.644	91.713	75.007	166.720	31.58%	39.651	
3 2022	302.07	78.11	-	53.63	2.48	436.29	111.94	62.07	35.13	23.57	121.32	8.17	74.096	54.831	95.522	78.122	173.645	31.58%	47.907	
2023	314.15	80.46	-	56.32	2.54	453.46	116.34	64.51	36.51	24.50	126.10	8.49	77.012	56.989	99.281	81.197	180.478	31.58%	50.155	

**3) Calculate the firm's pre-transaction weighted average cost of capital.**

*Note that this does not take into account updated equity values resulting from this analysis. If we attempt to use the weights based on the updated valuation, we'd end up with a circular logic issue: identify value (using a discount rate) conditioned on identifying the discount rate using the weights resulting from the valuation. We also have to argue that the market, which appears to have set these values may have inaccurate expectations*

$$WACC = \left(\frac{E}{V} \times R_E\right) + \left(\frac{P}{V} \times R_P\right) + \left(\frac{D}{V} \times R_D\right)(1 - T_C)$$

	Value	Weight	Costs
Common	427.774	80.94%	13.97%
Preferred	61.314	11.60%	1.66%
Debt	39.452	7.46%	4.75%
Total	528.541	100.00%	
WACC (market based)		11.76%	

**4) Calculate the firm's expected pre-transaction EBIT multiple using the KVD form and some debt algebra.**

$$\frac{EV}{EBIT} = \frac{ROIC - g}{ROIC(WACC - g)}(1 - T) = \frac{.3158 - .0394}{.3158(.1186 - .0394)}(1 - .26) = 8.28$$

**5) Provide pre-transaction valuation panels using the FCF and FMM models given the information from your forecasts, details provided, and the EBIT multiple you've calculated. This should result in two multi-columnar valuation estimates (FCF and FMM).**

			Pre-Transaction Valuation					
			FCF			FMM (Target)		
	Time	Year	FCF	PV <sub>FCF</sub>	Σ PV <sub>FCF</sub>	FCF	PV <sub>FCF</sub>	Σ PV <sub>FCF</sub>
Base Year	0	2019						
Explicit Period	1	2020	41.31	36.97	36.97	41.31	36.97	36.97
	2	2021	39.65	31.74	68.71	39.65	31.74	68.71
	3	2022	47.91	34.32	103.03	47.91	34.32	103.03
	4	2023	50.16			50.16		
			PV <sub>FCF</sub>	103.03		PV <sub>FCF</sub>	103.03	
			CV <sub>FCF</sub>	640.83		CV	637.39	
			PV <sub>CV</sub>	459.05		PV <sub>CV</sub>	456.59	
			VALUE <sub>FCF</sub>	562.08		VALUE <sub>FCF</sub>	559.62	
			Average Valuation		560.85			

- 6) Based on the average of your pre-transaction valuation estimates, calculate the current common and preferred share values and offer commentary with respect to how these compare with the values included in the attached Additional Financial Information.

	Pre-Transaction	
<b>Estimated EV</b>	560.848	
<b>Debt</b>	39.452	
<b>Cash</b>	10.933	
<b>Estimated Equity</b>	532.330	
<b>Common Shares</b>	15.000	
<b>Preferred Shares</b>	4.300	
<b>Pref to Common Conversion Ratio</b>	2.000	
<b>Converted Preferred Shares</b>	2.150	
<b>Total Equity Shares (common)</b>	17.150	
	<b>Value</b>	<b>Price</b>
<b>Common Equity per Share</b>	31.040	28.518
<b>Common Equity Market Cap</b>	465.594	427.774
<b>Preferred Equity per Share</b>	15.520	14.259
<b>Preferred Equity Market Cap</b>	66.735	61.314
<b>Total Equity Market Cap</b>	532.330	489.089

- 7) Calculate the firm's post-transaction weighted average cost of capital.

*Note that the value of common is based on the price specified in the pre-transaction financial information expanded at the expected acquisition premium of 10%. The values, weights and costs reflect that all of the shares repurchased came from the outstanding shares of common. The Debt value includes the \$78 million in new debt plus a revalued market value of the existing debt given the new cost of borrowing (5.50%). A reasonable question might be "why not buy back preferred shares?" In this case we need to consider the primary motivation of most share repurchase programs and that is to increase stakeholder value (a secondary motivation may be to distribute excess cash to stakeholders, but that's not as relevant in this case). To increase stakeholder value we're attempting to reduce the cost of capital and  $R_D$  is already low and  $R_E$  is high compared to the cost of the firm's capital inputs. It only make sense, then, that we would seek to reduce the equity weight.*

Post-Transaction Values			
	Value	Weight	Costs
Common	392.547	68.32%	13.97%
Preferred	67.445	11.74%	1.51%
Debt	114.583	19.94%	5.50%
Total	574.575	100.00%	
WACC (market based)		10.53%	

8) Calculate the firm's expected post-transaction EBIT multiple using the KVD form.

Note that the following EBIT multiple (9.87) differs slightly that which may be calculated given the input values presented – this is the result of slight rounding issues and the loss of quantitative fidelity in the values presented

$$\frac{EV}{EBIT} = \frac{ROIC - g}{ROIC(WACC - g)}(1 - T) = \frac{.3158 - .0394}{.3158(.1050 - .0394)}(1 - .26) = 9.87$$

9) Provide post-transaction valuation panels using the FCF and FMM models given the information from your forecasts, details provided, and the EBIT multiple you've calculated. This should result in two multi-columnar valuation estimates (FCF and FMM).

Post-Transaction Valuation								
Base Year	Time	Year	FCF			FMM (Target)		
			FCF	PV <sub>FCF</sub>	Σ PV <sub>FCF</sub>	FCF	PV <sub>FCF</sub>	Σ PV <sub>FCF</sub>
Explicit Period	0	2019						
	1	2020	41.315	37.378	37.38	41.315	37.378	37.38
	2	2021	39.651	32.454	69.83	39.651	32.454	69.83
	3	2022	47.907	35.474	105.31	47.907	35.474	105.31
	4	2023	50.155			50.155		
			PV <sub>FCF</sub>	105.31		PV <sub>FCF</sub>	105.31	
			CV <sub>FCF</sub>	760.15		CV	756.08	
			PV <sub>CV</sub>	562.89		PV <sub>CV</sub>	559.87	
			VALUE <sub>FCF</sub>	668.19		VALUE <sub>FCF</sub>	665.17	
			Average Valuation	666.68				

- 10) Based on the average of your two post-transaction valuation estimates, calculate the expected common and preferred share values and offer commentary with respect to how these compare with those pre-transaction values you've calculated.

	Pre-Transaction		Post-Transaction	
<b>Estimated EV</b>	560.848		666.683	
<b>Debt</b>	39.452		114.583	
<b>Cash</b>	10.933		10.933	
<b>Estimated Equity</b>	532.330		563.033	
<b>Common Shares</b>	15.000		12.514	
<b>Preferred Shares</b>	4.300		4.300	
<b>Pref to Common Conversion Ratio</b>	2.000		2.000	
<b>Converted Preferred Shares</b>	2.150		2.150	
<b>Total Equity Shares (common)</b>	17.150		14.664	
	<b>Value</b>	<b>Price</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Price</b>
<b>Common Equity per Share</b>	31.040	28.518	38.397	31.370
<b>Common Equity Market Cap</b>	465.594	427.774	480.480	392.547
<b>Preferred Equity per Share</b>	15.520	14.259	19.198	15.685
<b>Preferred Equity Market Cap</b>	66.735	61.314	82.553	67.445
<b>Total Equity Market Cap</b>	532.330	489.089	563.033	459.992

- 11) Show how the proposed transaction would impact the firm's balance sheet and income statement.

The firm's Income Statement is unaffected by the change in the capital structure, as is the left-hand side of the Balance Sheet (Assets). The Balance Sheet's right-hand side, however, is transformed by the addition of \$78 million in new debt and simultaneous reduction of Owner's Equity of \$78 million in common equity (preferred equity remains unchanged). While the Balance Sheet still "balances" the firm's Debt-to-Equity ratio changes dramatically.

- 12) Finally, discuss the impact on the firm and its stakeholders of the share repurchase transaction and offer a convincing argument as to why the board should approve your financing proposal.

The impact of the proposed leveraged buyback of equity shares in the hands of the firm's Angel and Venture Capital investors appears clear. Not only do the founding stakeholders and management consolidate control, but they also enjoy an increase in the value of their equity stakes. We see common and preferred per-share equity value increases of 7.357 and 3.678, respectively, and price increases of 10%. We also see the price-to-value per share ratio for common and preferred shares

has changed from 0.9188 to 0.9091. Assuming the shares purchased were exclusively from non-founders, the founders and early stage investors increased their percentage equity ownership of the firm simultaneous with having received an increased in the value of their respective equity stakes. Not bad for a day's work!

A few significant questions remain, however: 1) Would "non-family" equity stakeholders have been prepared to liquidate their holdings at the expected 10% premium or would they expect a higher per share price? One might expect these investors to hold out for a higher price, in which case, the remaining stakeholder equity value may suffer as a result. It's likely these remaining stakeholders will still enjoy an economic benefit, both now and in the future, from the transaction. 2) Will the firm be able to meet its new debt service obligations on the \$78 million in debt at 5.50% interest rate? The interest payments alone will consume \$4.288 million of the firm's \$62.513 million in 2019 operating income requiring the Times Interest Earned relation to be robust, but that supposes the firm continues to enjoy relative success and doesn't suffer from significant unexpected shocks similar to the COVID-19 shock currently reverberating through the global economy. 3) Will the firm's credit capacity be effected by the transaction, and if so, what will that do to the firm's valuation? It seems likely the firm would face even higher borrowing costs in the future after taking on almost \$78 million in additional debt. Absent an aggressive debt repayment plan, altogether possible given the firm's substantial operating cash flow of \$53.94 in 2019, the firm's expected cost of borrowing may well rise and an increase to any level beyond 6% may risk increasing the firm's WACC such that the firm's valuation and equity capitalization may decline below current levels.

