

Forecasting Value: FCF & KVD Models¹
Central Camp Railroad, LTD.
In-Class Problem²

The subject firm for the problems represented in this case, Central Camp Railroad, Ltd. is, a fictional firm for which hypothetical values have been presented. The Income Statement, Balance Sheet, and Other Financial Information used herein are also used in support of building a body of Corporate Finance In-Class Problems and Case Studies.

Central Camp Railroad (CCRR), a privately held company, is considering a public offering for their equity shares as an exit strategy for the firm's principal shareholders. Rail transport is a capital intensive industry with firms enjoying some monopoly power given access to certain rail lines and transport corridors, in which CCRR has invested heavily. As can be seen through the following FCF and NOPLAT values, the firm is pursuing a growth strategy and has explicitly forecast values for 2019-2022, with assumed values for 2023 based on an expected long-run growth in the rail trans industry of 2.5%.

	FCF	NOPLAT
2019	34,657,717	60,454,747
2020	37,049,099	64,626,124
2021	39,605,487	69,085,327
2022	42,338,266	73,852,214
2023	63,492,574	75,698,520

As the firm's CFO, you've been assigned to identify a valuation of the firm from the firm's perspective, based on the following information and the available set of income statement, balance sheet, and market values as of year-end 2018:

Bond Portfolio		Equity Portfolio	
YTM	6.31%	R_M	9.00%
Years remaining	20	Beta	1.35
P/YR	2	R_F	2.45%
Coupon Rate	8.00%	Investor Required Returns	18.00%
Face Value (per bond)	1000		
# Bonds outstanding	165,750		

Outsized returns in industries requiring high levels of capital and for which firms have some form of government sanctioned monopoly power may not be reasonable. These firms often enjoy their market positions as a function of state-level protection and are commonly subject to regulatory oversight at which pricing is determined and the level of profit may be limited. The trade-off being an assurance of profit assuming demand remains robust. As such, investors seeking these returns may find less than favorable reactions from existing stakeholders as offers are made and evaluated.

Tax rates are based on 2018 Utah and Federal Tax Schedules.

¹ This problem and solution set is intended to present an abbreviated discussion of the included finance concepts and is not intended to be a full or complete representation of them or the underlying foundations from which they are built.

² This problem set was developed by Richard Haskell, PhD (rhaskell@westminstercollege.edu), Gore School of Business, Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah (2017).

1. Calculate a market based WACC for the firm as of year-end 2018. Show all of your work

Capital Components (Market)	Value	Weight
Common	450,000,000	58.99%
Preferred	50,000,000	6.55%
Debt	262,828,193	34.45%
Total	762,828,193	100.00%

$$R_E \text{ (via CAPM)} = R_f + (R_M - R_f) \times B = .0245 + (.09 - .0215) \times 1.35 = .1129 \text{ or } 11.29\%$$

$$R_P = \text{Pref Div/Mkt Val Pref} = 9,000,000/50,000,000 = .18 \text{ or } 18\%$$

$$R_D = \text{YTM} = \text{Current Yield} = 0.0631 \text{ or } 6.31\% \text{ (unadjusted for periods per year)}$$

$$\text{Tax Rate} = 0.2595 \text{ or } 25.95\% \text{ (based on 2018 Utah and Federal Tax Schedules)}$$

$$\text{WACC} = \left(\frac{E}{V} \times R_E\right) + \left(\frac{P}{V} \times R_P\right) + \left(\frac{D}{V} \times R_D\right) (1 - T_{\text{Taxable Income}})$$

$$= .5899 \times .1129 + .0655 \times .18 + .3445 \times .0631 \times (1 - .2595) = .0945 \text{ or } 9.45\%$$

If you calculated WACC using a spreadsheet and had no loss of fidelity, the value for WACC is slightly different than 9.45%; it is more like 9.448662% - this results in modestly different valuation estimates

2. Given the cash flows indicated, estimate the firm's value as of the end of 2018 using a Free Cash Flow model from the perspective of the firm. Show all of your work in the multi-column format discussed in class

$$\text{Value}_{\text{FCF}} = \sum \frac{\text{FCF}_i}{(1+\text{WACC})^t} + \frac{\frac{\text{FCF}_1}{(\text{WACC}-g)}}{(1+\text{WACC})^t}$$

Period	FCF	PV _{FCF}	Σ PV _{FCF}
0	39,353,616		
1	34,657,717	31,664,971	31,664,971
2	37,049,099	30,926,869	62,591,840
3	39,605,487	30,205,971	92,797,811
4 (0)	42,338,266	29,501,877	122,299,688
5 (1)	63,492,574		
		PV _{FCF}	122,299,688
		CV	913,393,756
		PV _{CV}	636,465,153
		VALUE _{FCF}	758,764,841

3. Given the cash flows indicated, estimate the firm's value as of the end of 2018 using the Key Value Driver model from the perspective of the firm. Show all of your work in the multi-column format discussed in class

$$\text{Value}_{\text{KVD/FCF}} = \sum \frac{\text{FCF}_t}{(1+WACC)^t} + \frac{\text{NOPLAT}_1 \left(1 - \frac{g}{\text{ROIC}}\right)}{\frac{WACC-g}{(1+WACC)^t}}$$

Period	FCF	PV _{FCF}	Σ PV _{FCF}
0	39,353,616		
1	34,657,717	31,664,971	31,664,971
2	37,049,099	30,926,869	62,591,840
3	39,605,487	30,205,971	92,797,811
4 (0)	42,338,266	29,501,877	122,299,688
5 (1)	63,492,574		
		PV _{FCF}	122,299,688
		CV _{KVD}	898,350,464
		PV _{CV}	625,982,784
		VALUE	748,282,472

4. If the values you've calculated using the FCF and KVD models result in different outcomes, specify why they are different and what might be done to reconcile the differences. Be specific here and use as much quantitative detail as you either have available or can calculate given the data at your disposal. This might best include some formation of FCF using available resources.

The values resulting from these models are different only to the extent that the cash flow variable employed in the continuation values differs. While they are each conceptually equal to free cash flow, the FCF model uses $\text{FCF} = \text{NOPLAT} + \text{Depreciation} - \Delta\text{NWC} - \text{NCS}$ and the KVD model uses $\text{FCF} = \text{NOPLAT} \left(1 - \frac{g}{\text{ROIC}}\right)$. At a given value for g , these equations result in the same value for free cash flow at continuing value time 1 (CV_1).

Based on the information provided, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FCF} &= \text{NOPLAT} + \text{Depreciation} - \Delta\text{NWC} - \text{NCS} \\ &= 63,492,574 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We also know

$$\text{FCF} = \text{NOPLAT} \left(1 - \frac{g}{\text{ROIC}}\right) \tag{4.2}$$

If we substitute values for FCF from 4.1 into 4.2 and further substitute values for NOPLAT_1 and ROIC from the data provided into 4.2 the resulting equation is

$$63,492,574 = 75,698,520 \times \left(1 - \frac{g}{0.1428}\right) \tag{4.3}$$

Rearrange 4.3 to solve for g

$$g = \left(1 - \frac{63,492,574}{75,698,520}\right) \times 0.1428 = .0230 \text{ or } 2.30\%$$

This value of g differs from that used on the KVD model. The data provided suggested a long term growth rate of 2.50%, slightly higher than the g calculated above, resulting in a KVD Model valuation slightly lower than that calculated with the FCF model.

5. You've also been asked to help the firm's stakeholders, of which you are one, to prepare for possible offers from private equity investors interested in acquiring all or part of the firm. At what valuation might you expect private equity investors would be interested in the firm and how, or why, might this differ from the value(s) you've estimated? Show all of your work in the multi-column format discussed in class – you only need to use one of the models indicated (FCF or KVD), but should be prepared to defend why you've chosen the one you've used.

When valuing the firm based on the investor required return of 18% (required rate of return or hurdle rate), the values fall dramatically, as shown below. The initial valuation estimates based on WACC using the FCF and KVD models (\$758,764,841 and \$748,282,472, respectively) were not altogether dissimilar to the firm's calculated Enterprise Value (EV) of \$745,328,193 (market based), which was low enough (when compared to the initial estimates) to suggest an investment may be warranted and could possibly result in an economic profit, or a return in excess of the discount rate (WACC). But the firm's calculated EV (market-based) is already well above the estimates using the investor's required return as the discount rate (\$313,204,103 and \$309,724,533 respectively), so why would the current owners entertain an offer from such an investor group? Is the 18% required return reasonable given the risk/reward scenario of this railroad? For many reasons, it likely is not and current owners would not entertain such an offer. Further, investors interested in firms in this space may not be likely to seek a high risk/reward opportunity.

Period	FCF Model			KVD Model		
	FCF	PV _{FCF}	Σ PV _{FCF}	FCF	PV _{FCF}	Σ PV _{FCF}
0	39,353,616			39,353,616		
1	34,657,717	29,370,946	29,370,946	34,657,717	29,370,946	29,370,946
2	37,049,099	26,608,086	55,979,032	37,049,099	26,608,086	55,979,032
3	39,605,487	24,105,122	80,084,154	39,605,487	24,105,122	80,084,154
4 (0)	42,338,266	21,837,606	101,921,761	42,338,266	21,837,606	101,921,761
5 (1)	63,492,574			63,492,574		
		PV _{FCF}	101,921,761		PV _{FCF}	101,921,761
		CV	409,629,507		CV	402,883,045
		PV _{CV}	211,282,343		PV _{CV}	207,802,593
		VALUE _{FCF}	313,204,103		VALUE _{KVD}	309,724,353

Central Camp Railroad, Ltd.					
Balance Sheet					
Year Ending December 31					
	2017	2018		2017	2018
Current Assets			Current Liabilities		
Cash & Securities	16,500,000	17,500,000	Accounts Payable	16,500,000	18,500,000
Accounts Receivable	6,500,000	7,500,000	Wages Payable	5,000,000	4,500,000
Inventory		-	Total	21,500,000	23,000,000
Total	23,000,000	25,000,000			
			Long Term Debt		
Fixed Operating Assets			Mortgages	35,000,000	38,500,000
PPE	303,750,000	313,750,000	Bank Notes Payable	32,000,000	27,000,000
Operating Investments	25,000,000	25,000,000	Bonds	176,000,000	165,750,000
Total	328,750,000	338,750,000	Total	243,000,000	231,250,000
Non-Operating Assets			Owner's Equity		
Land/Livestock	33,500,000	33,500,000	Common Stock	12,500,000	12,500,000
Mining Interest	15,051,000	21,750,000	Preferred Stock	9,551,000	20,000,000
Total	48,551,000	55,250,000	Accumulated Retained Earnings	113,750,000	132,250,000
			Total	135,801,000	164,750,000
Total Fixed Assets	377,301,000	394,000,000			
Total Assets	400,301,000	419,000,000	Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity	400,301,000	419,000,000

Central Camp Railroad, Ltd.			
Income Statement			
January 1 - December 31			
	2017	2018	
Income			
Transportation Revenue	265,000,000	281,580,000	
Operating Services	15,000,000	19,000,000	
Investment Revenue	425,000	4,750,000	
Total Income	280,425,000	305,330,000	
Expenses			
COGS	150,000,000	159,000,000	
Sales & Marketing	28,000,000	32,000,000	
Administration	14,500,000	15,829,148	
Depreciation	17,600,000	22,130,000	
Total Expenses	210,100,000	228,959,148	
EBIT	70,325,000	76,370,852	
Interest Paid			
General Interest	19,440,000	19,140,000	
Total Interest Paid	19,440,000	19,140,000	
Taxable Income	50,885,000	57,230,852	
Taxes Paid	17,809,750	12,030,798	
Net Income	33,075,250	45,200,054	
Distribution of Earnings			
Dividends (Common)	14,075,250	17,700,054	
Dividends (Preferred)	9,000,000	9,000,000	
Addition to Retained Earnings	10,000,000	18,500,000	

Additional Financial Information					
	2017	2018		2017	2018
Preferred Stock Value			Common Stock Value		
Shares Outstanding (millions)	7,823,125	10,000,000	Shares Outstanding (millions)	12,500,000	12,500,000
12/31 Price per Share	4.75	5.00	12/31 Price per Share	22.00	36.00
Market Value (millions)	37,159,844	50,000,000	P/E Multiple	11.42	12.43
			EPS	1.926	2.896
			Market Value (millions)	275,000,000	450,000,000